Contains CM 3-53-20

App F IX 4

Previous References

1952-53 (CM)

File No 3-52-

Serial Nos 87, 93,

P-38-E

Later References

CM DCP 359/54

44 138 CSN-57

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 3

Part B for December 1953

SUBJECT

Allocation of Sri Rehman Sheriff
Market Sergeant - Mysore city Municipality

WD 01425—GPB—25 000—15 9 1952

L 10220/ dated 14 10-53

The Sec M N Jois
Member, L C,
24 Sonar Street
Mysore city

Rejects

7375/mel

Allocation of Sri Rahamman Sheriff Marwadi Sergeant to the 15th Division

---

Proc

The Municipal Commr Mysore city No C2 CR 936/52-53 dt 15.12.52

Recommends sanction to the allocation of the above Mwal to the 15th Div. to which he is posted to enable him and does occur to be so allocated by an oversight as he was 0.0.M in the Food Dept at the time

If approved the Commissioner can be requested to send the Service Register of the Mwal for reference

Clerk 8/3/53

2) Yes 11/3

SMV 9/3

3) Dft Seller to the Commr Mysore city Municipality is put up.

Issue 25/3

SMV WP

To The Ml Comm Mysore (the present)

L 22302/etc 3-52-88 dated 28-3-53

Calls for details in the D.O memorandum is put up for approval

4 Issue 5 Issue

Clerk/4 SMV 4/4

7375
2 Rec

Allocation of Sri Rahman Sharif
Marked ~~Sea~~ Seargeant to Lft I

7375 √ 177

The Hl Comm Mysore
(Cls name)
L 143 dated 6-4-53
Remds

CSA
7/4

From the Hl Commissioner Mysore
Letter no C2 CR 936/52-53 Dated 31-3-53
Sends the S R

To this may kindly be forwarded to the Controller S A D for remarks in the first instance

yes 24/4

21/4

21/4

No 17/CSA

Rec No 33

Dt 24-4-53

CONTROLLER OF ACCOUNTS
Date 25 APR 1953
BANGALORE

Forwarded to the Controller, State Accounts department for remarks and early return

Abdurrahman Sahib
for Secretary to Govt
of S S & Planning Depts

U O No 109 /C S A dated 23rd June, 1953

8) Reference is invited to O M No Fl (B) 12620-710 dated 19 1 1953 in the matter of creation of supernumerary appointments

It is seen from the Service Register of Sri Rahman Sheriff that he was holding the posts in grade Rs 40-2-50 and other higher grades and pay prior to 1 10 1945 in the City Municipality, Mysore, and O O D in the Food Supplies Department Hence his claim for the benefits of a I Grade appointment in Rs 60-5-90-6-150 with effect from 1 1 1947 with the benefits of usual weightage in accordance with the principles laid down in G O No Fl 2108-70/S & A 25-47-7 dated 23 9 1947 can be considered only on the analogy of the case of Sri S Lingiah in G O No L 17827-29/I T B 15-52-20 dated 19 1 1953 If it were to be decided to confer this benefit to Sri Rahman Sheriff on the analogy of the case of Sri S Lingiah, then a temporary post of a Market Sergeant in grade Rs 60-5-90-6-150 from 1 1 1947 to 2 3 1953 (both days inclusive) against the existing permanent post of Market Sergeant in grade Rs 40-2-50-3-80 has to be created and Sri Rahman Sheriff counted against the post Such temporary pay if drawn by him during the period after sanction has to be specially ordered as counting for pension

Secretary to Govt ,
L S G & Planning Depts)

(Mohamed Rahmathulla)
CONTROLLER,
State Accounts Department

9) It is ascertained from the I T.B Sect that the file No. 15/52, Sl 120 relating to the case of Sri. S. Lingaiah is not available for reference in this file

as it has been placed for reference in another file of D.-B. Section and sent to Controller S.A.D. for remarks unofficially. A printed copy of Sp.O No: FL 2108-70-SRA 25-47-7 dated 23-9-47 referred to in the U.O note of the Controller, S.A.D is placed in the file for reference.

10) His official has retained from service with effect from 3-3-53 FN is in November 1941 but his official was taken over to Food Supply Department. Immediately ~~prior~~ prior to his transfer to Food Department he was Sergeant, Dawaiya Post- in grade 45-3-60 and was drawing Rs 57/= During general revision his Scale of pay of Master Supervisor has been revised but his revised grade & pay of this post is not very clear from his references

11) His official was a Second grade clerk in grade ~~60-2-50~~ 40-2-50 his he was promoted as Head Clerk on 1 7 39 in grade 50-2-60. He held this on a permanent basis He was appointed permanent Master Sergeant and reported for duty on the F.N of 9-10-41 till 2 6-42 he was Master Sergeant and he was Cashier from 2-6-42 to 1-4 43 in a leave Vacancy and thereafter

Serial No

transfered to his permanent post as Market Sergeant.

12) Prior to his appointment as Market Sergeant he was permanent clerk in grade Rs 50 x 60 and was made permanent Market Sergeant in grade 45-3-60. The maximum of both these scales is the same and in the latter ie Market Sergeant, the rate of increments is Rs 3/= while it is only 2/= in the former. Thus there is not much of difference between the two appointments. It is not however understood why this official is frequently changed from the Ministerial establishment to executive establishment

13) As he was a Market Sergeant (Permanent) immediately prior to his transfer to Food department during general service he should have been allocated against that vacancy and not that of a Second division Vacancy as related the grade pay of Market Sergeant was revised during general service and this official should have necessarily accepted all the benifits of his permanent post as he was only temporary in Food department

14) Besides, the person appointed

Note —Contn Sheet

Proposal to allocate Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant-File No in Food Dept of 195

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

to act as market Sergeant during the period of Sri Rahman Sheriff's stay in Food Department will automatically be entitled to the benefits if the ~~~~ Scale of market Sergeant is revised temporarily to Rs 60-5-90-6-150 from 1.1.47 to 2-3-53. The revision is necessary if the proposal of the Municipal Council should be approved. The proposal appears to be financially not acceptable

(5) For orders if the Municipal Commissioner may be requested to furnish information on the following points or the proposal may be turned down for reasons explained above

(i) ~~x~~ relay and how it is proposed to allocate his official against a Gazetted Cleared Vacancy when there was a permanent Market Sergeant in the executive establishment

(ii) If the grade of Market Sergeant was not revised during general revision and if so to what Scale and if the official in question has not reaped the benefits of such a revision as he was only temporary in Food Department.

(iii) If the Scale of Market Sergeant is revised to 60-5-90-6-150 will he be offered

WD 01401—GPB—40 000—13 10 50

Proposal to allocate Sri Rahman Sheriff

App F III –2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | in file- File No. Dor 7 7373 of 195 | 177

Serial No

5) From 1 1 47 to accommodate his official ~~if~~ obtain the benefits of Special Grade time will not be automatically applicable to the person who acted as Market Sergeant during the period resulting heavy expenditure

(iv) If the temporary incumbent will claim the benefits of higher grade and pay permanently by virtue of his having served in higher grade throughout the Period i.e. if Sri. Rahman Sheriff relieved to duty as market sergeant after reversion from Food department

16) Call for the above papers

9/7

10/7 BM 11/7

17) Submit work a draft

Issue

21/7/53 BM 22/7/53 24/7

No C 5356/53-Ste D 30/7/53 to the Municipal Commissioner, Mysore — calls for — Service information

Special Note No: C2 CR 936/52-53 dated 5/8/53 from the Municipal Commissioner, Mysore, furnishing a reply in the matter.

Suo-A 30/7/53 BM 1/8

18) The below dated 5/8/53 from the

S

Note —Contn Sheet

Serial No

Municipal Commissioner, Mysore, has raised in his letter dated 30-7-53 is for perusal. The Municipal Commissioner is silent as to when his official was changed from his ministerial to his executive & from that of a clerk to the Market Sergeant.

2) It is now made clear that the proposal is to allocate his official against a second division ministerial vacancy and show him as supernumerary first division to enable him to get the benefits of first division in view of his fact that he held a first class vacancy for several years before fixation of scales of pay the principles with which his grades of pay of several posts were fixed in general revision were based on the needs of each post, responsibility and such other considerations and it was not the guiding principle that a first class vacancy prior to fixation should be raised to the first division only the grade pay of the Market Sergeant prior to fixation was Rs 45-3-60 and it was raised to 40-2-50 3-80 If the Municipal Council had then considered that it was necessary to raise it to that of a first division ministerial vacancy ie Rs 60-5-90 EB 6-150, it would have done so. The official in question was a permanent Market Sergeant prior to fixation and his

X

Serial No

going to Food Department, and he could be allocated against that post only in the Scaled grade. This has been done. The argument that the official was not benefitted by such revision of his grade pay as Municpl Sergeant's post in view of the fact that he was OOD in the Food Department ~~held~~, getting a higher pay is not a sound argument for now scaling the grade of that post or for allocating him as Supernumerary first Dn.

2) Besides, this A Sy has since given a finding that there can be no Supernumerary posts and that Specific posts should only be found or created to allocate officials in first Dn the principle of allocating officials in first division only was in respect of graduates. ~~only~~ The official in question being not a graduate ~~was~~ held, no right to be allocated in first division only. The argument that he held a first class Vacancy for several years and should therefore have been allocated in a first division Vacancy does not hold much water as no distinction as first class or second class could be made in respect of executive appointments like Municpl Sergeant &c, and as this official was not a clerk

e —Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Municipal Commissioner, Mysore, has received in reply to our letter dated 30.4.53 is for perusal the Municipal Commissioner is silent as to when his official was changed from his ministerial to his executive & from that of a clerk to the Market Sergeant.

2) It is now made clear that the proposal is to allocate ~~him~~ his official against a second division ministerial Vacancy and show him as supernumerary first division to enable him to get the benefits of first division in view of his fact that he held a first class Vacancy for several years before fixation of scales of pay the principles with which his grades of pay of several posts were fixed in general fixation were not based on the needs of each post, responsibility and such other considerations and it was not the guiding principle that a first class Vacancy prior to fixation should be revised to the first division only the grade pay of the Market Sergeant prior to fixation was Rs 45-3-60 and it was revised to 40-2-50 3-80 If the Municipal Council had then considered that it was necessary to revise it to that of a first division ministerial Vacancy ie Rs 60-5-90 EB 6-150, it would have done so. The official in question was a permanent Market Sergeant prior to fixation and his

Serial No

going to Food Department, and he could be allocated against that post only in the Ranked grade. This has been done. The argument that the official was not benifitted by such revision of his grade pay of his Market Sergeant's post in view of the fact that he was odd in the Food Department ~~held~~, getting a higher pay is not a sound argument for now Ranking the grade of that post or for allocating him as Supernumerary first Dn.

2) Besides, the A Cy has since given a ruling that there can be no Supernumerary posts and that Specific posts should only be found or created to allocate officials in first Dn the principle of allocating officials in first division only was in respect of graduates. ~~only~~ The official in question being not a graduate ~~was~~ held, no right to be allocated in first division only. The argument that he held a first class Vacancy for several years and should therefore have been allocated in a first division Vacancy does not hold much water as no distinction as first class or Second class could be made in respect of executive officers like Market Sergeant &, and as the official was not a clerk

—Contn Sheet

Prior to his going over to the Food Department and prior to fixation of pay

21) The official was getting Rs 60/= in grade Rs 45 3-60 as Market Sergeant and he was fixed at Rs 74/= with weightage in the revised Scale 40 2 50 3-80 The official was thus really benifitted by fixation, but this was not noticeable in view of the fact that he was on the Food Department drawing a Pay of Rs 100/= Thus, it is evident that ~~there has~~ thus ~~has~~ ~~exceeded~~ is no hard case at all to require a reconsideration

22) As already submitted, the proposal to allocate him as Supernumerary cannot be sanctioned now in view of the A-G's ruling If the object of the Municipal Council to allocate the official in first division should be sanctioned, it could be done only by fixing the Market Sergeant post to 60-5-90-6-150 as opined by the Controller SLD at para 8 ante and not by allocating as Supernumerary first On. when the Municipal council has revised the grade to Rs 40-2-50-3-80 for Govt in general revision, it is not desirable to suggest its revision to 60-5-90-6-150 Salary with a view to accommodate an official who has really no hard case the official is already retired and the proposal appears

PwD

Serial No

22) He have been made Solely with a View to enable him getting a higher pension. Opinion of the Controller S.A.D. as Para 8 is for perusal in this behalf. In case the grade Pay of Market Sergeant is fixed to be 80-5-90-6-150 temporarily for the period from 1.1.47 to 2.3.53 with a View to Count- Sri Rahmansheriff against the Same, it is quite natural that the present incumbent will press for continuance of the Same grade.

23) In the light of the above and the opinion of the Controller, S.A.D at para 8, the Proposal of the Municipal Council to re-allocate Sri Rahmansheriff in first division (as Supernumerary) is for consideration and orders In case it is proposed to sanction the Proposal, financial concurrence is necessary

Ref Para 16

SR of the officer is placed in his file

20/8 20/8

24) Submitted with reference to the orders in the margin. On Sri Gopal's file as further with the file pertaining to a similar case of one Sri Gurunath - orders are under issue of office, time will be resubmitted with the relevant files after issue of orders

Heard to peruse note whether there case bears any analogy to the case of Sri Gopal

21/8

Note —Cont'n. Sheet

27/8 28/8 25) Yes Continue the note after enclosing these files, in the light of the orders passed there in

Serial No

Prior to his going over to the Food Department and prior to fixation of pay

21) The official was getting Rs 60/= in grade Rs 45 3-60 as Market Sergeant and he was fixed at Rs 74/= with weightage in the revised scale 40 2 50 3-80 The official was thus really benifitted by fixation, but this was not noticeable in view of the fact that he was now in Food Department drawing a Pay of Rs 100/= Thus, it is evident that thus he is no hard case at all to require a reconsideration

22) As already submitted, the proposal to allocate him as Supernumerary cannot be sanctioned now in view of the A-G's ruling Of the object of the Municipal Council to allocate the official in first division should be sanctioned, it could be done only by fixing his Market Sergeant post to 60-5-90-6-150 as opined by the Controller SAD at para 8 ante and not by allocating as Supernumerary Incid Dn. when the Municipal council has revised the grade to Rs 40-2-50-3-80 for Govt in general fixation, it is not desirable to suggest its fixation to 60-5-90-6-150 Salary with a view to accommodate an official who has already no hard case the official is already retired and the proposal appears

PWD

Re-allocation of Sri. Rahmanshariff

nue File No II of 195

App. (S III—2)

Serial No	
	22) It have been made Solely with a View to enable him getting a higher pension than (5) he is entitled under S.A.D as para 8 is for perusal in this behalf. In case his grade Pay of Market Sergeant is treated to be 5-90-6-150 temporarily for the period from 1.1.49 to 2.3.53 with a View to count Sri Rahmanshariff against the same, it is quite natural that the present incumbent will press for continuance of the same grade.
	23) In the light of the above and the opinion of the Controller, S.A.D at para 8, the Proposal of the Municipal Council to re-allocate Sri Rahmanshariff in first division (as Supernumerary) is for Consideration and orders In case it is proposed to Sanction the Proposal financial concurrence is necessary Ref. Para 16
SR of the officer is placed in the file 20/8	20/8 20/8
	24) Submitted with reference to the Views in the margin on the applicant's file is perhaps with the file pertaining to a similar case of one Sri Gurumurthy Orders are under Nos of the officers, these will be resubmitted with the relevant files after issue of orders 27/8 20/8
Note—Con'n. Sheet	25) Yes Continue the note after withdrawing these files, in the light of the orders passed therein

PWD

Hr Heard to please note whether this case bears any analogy to the case of Sri Gurumurthy 21/8

Allocation of Sh Rahman Sheriff

File No Market Sergeant — Hyderabad of 195 17

General and Revenue Secretariat

	Serial No	
P. 26) The following two files are furnished —		
(a) the file collection leading to the issue of go NK 17827-29/2 T B 15-52-120 & 19-1-53 creating the post of a temporary I Division clerk for the period from 1-1-1947 to 1-5-48 and absorbing Sh Lingiah as I Division clerk from 1-1-47	1	flg c
(b) the file leading to the issue of go NL 7084-85/2 T B 15-52-170 & 17-9-53 effecting Sh general as I division clerk from 1-1-47 on the analogy of the case of Sh Lingiah — vide item (a) above. It is also ordered in this case that the temporary pay drawn by him be counted for pension	1	flg 2
27) The case of Sh Rahman Sheriff is similar to those of Sh Lingiah and Sh Gurviah referred to under para 26 above. In the case of Sh Gurviah, it was also ordered that the temporary pay drawn by him may be counted for pension. Accordingly a temporary post of a Market Sergeant in grade Rs Rs 60-5-80-6-150 from 1-1-47		Vide go 17-9-53 (2) Vide item 9 of the Controller's AD in para 8 of the notes

Allocation of Sri Rahman sheriff — [illegible]
3174/mk Market sergeant [illegible] Mysore Municipality

A

to 2-3-1953 (both days inclusive) against the existing permanent post of Market sergeant in grade Rs 40-2-50-3-80, may be created and Sri Rahman sheriff may be posted against this post and his temporary pay during this period may be fixed in accordance with the orders issued by the Controller S A D in para 8 on the analogy of Sri Durgaiah and Sri Gurunaik as per Govt order dated 19-1-53 (Flag C) and of Sri Gurunaik as per Govt order dated 17-9-53 (Flag D) In orders with reference to para 25 above

Mra
9/10

82/9/X/53

28) The Suggestion at "A" in Para 27 may be approved, as the case is similar to that of Sri Durgaiah and Sri Gurunaik

9288
10-10-53
CHIEF SECRETARY
[illegible] 1953
GOI C-M/SORE

9/10

Minister
for d S & HH

Hon'ble
Financial Secretary
&
Chief Secretary
11

29) The Market Sergeants' grade has been revised from 45-3-60 to 40-2-56-3-80. As he is a permanent Market sergeant he can only get the pay of this post as revised

3174
Mel

Allocation of Sh Rahman Sheriff
Market Sergeant — Mysore Municipal
14

& not that of a first division clerk The cases of Srs Gunniah & Dinesh are different in as much as they were ministerial officials The grade & pay of a post cannot be revised with reference the individual occupying the place As the grade & pay of the market sergeant has been revised as stated above there is no case for further revising the grade pay of the post to that of a first division clerk

20/10
22/10

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
GOVT OF MYSORE
23 OCT 1953
U O No 6240 Fl

30) I agree
24/10

27/10
27/10

31) 29/10/53

30/10
30/10

32) The letter received from Sri M N Jois is placed in the file

33) A draft letter is put up for approval — Vide paras 29-31 supra

Subs 31/10/53

34) Draft for appln Sri M N Jois is an L C member -

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
27 OCT 1953
DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL-SELF GOVERNMENT
28 OCT 1953
No 3588

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
30 OCT 1953
DEPARTMENT

Annexure 16

3174
mc

Allocation of Sri Rahman 15 Sheriff - I Dr
Clerk Mysore City Regd

reply may be sent to him stating that the
Case has been disposed of

Dasst and also
evidence

Encl
31/10/53

31/10

To

The Municipal Commissioner
Mysore City

L 9767/C-M 3-52-54
dt 6 11 53

Regds his proposal

Allocation of Sri 468/par 16
Rahamman Sheriff, Mysore
3174/54682

36) The file bearing D 170 3174/mle — re Allocation of Sri Rahaman Sheriff, Market Sergeant in I Division Vacancy in Mysore city Municipality — was submitted to the Minister for P H and L & G. on 28.10.1953 the return of the file under orders may be awaited

Subo
he
29.10.53

37) 29/10

29/X/53

letter dt 29/10/53 from Sri M N Jois, in the matter

(Collect TTS 15-52 (155, 170) and 1553 (119, 120) from KD no. 4621/mle for perusal
27/11)

38) Orders have been Communicated in the matter on 4.11.1953 to the Municipal Commissioner, Mysore city A draft Reply to Sri M N Jois is put up for appl
Subo — Refer para 35 supra
he
10/11/53

Done
13/11

10/11/53

To

Sri N N Gour

Member of

Sri Sonar Shirsat

Nyksa city

L-10220/14 10 83

Rejected

No C2CR936/
Lnds 2wo / 5253

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
P B No 17

Mysore, Dated 15th December, 1952

From

THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

MYSORE.





To

The Secretary to Government,
L S G and Planning Department
Bangalore 1

(Through the President)

CM 3-52-89

Sir,

Allocation of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant in the First Division Post

---

I write to state that Sri Rehman Sheriff, Market Sergeant of this Municipality has worked as Head Clerk in grade Rs 50-2-60 in the Revenue and Engineering Sections and in grade Rs 45-3-60 as Market Sergeant during the years prior to 1944 when he was lent to the Food Supplies Department on Rs 100/- p m During General Revision, the official was allocated against a II Division post carrying a grade pay of Rs 40-2-50/EB 3-80 though he was entitled to be allocated against a I Division in view of the fact that Sri Rahman Sheriff held a I Class appointment prior to General Revision This was not noticed at the time of the General Revision, as the official was then working in the Food Supply Department On his reversion to the Municipality from the Food Supply Department on 20-6-1952, the official represented that he has been deprived of the benefits of the I Division for which he was entitled to and requested that the benefit may be extended to him in view of his having held a I class appointment prior to General Revision and in consideration of his long service and good work

The Council before whom the subject came up for consideration at their meeting held on 5-12-1952 have approved of the proposal of the Managing Committee to give him the benefit of the I Division pay, treating the official as

a supernumerary against the II Division post he was holding A modified allocation statement fixing the pay of the official at Rs 85/- as on 1-1-1947 is also enclosed

In enclosing a copy of the Council resolution, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the modified allocation statement of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant, fixing his pay at Rs 85/- in grade Rs 60-5-90/EB 6-150 with effect from 1-1-1947 treating him as a supernumerary

Yours faithfully,

(F M Abdul Rahim)
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

A Krishnamurthy Rao

(A Krishnamurthy Rao)
President

snr

Proceedings of the ~~Ordinary~~ meeting of the Mysore City Municipal Council held in the Municipal Offices on Friday the ~~28th November~~ 5th December 1952 at 4 p m in the Municipal offices

---

936 Managing Committee Resolution No 373/21-11-1952 recommending approval to the case of Sri Pahran Sheriff, at present Hackney Carriage Inspector, being allocated in the I Division in view of the fact that he was drawing a I Division pay prior to revision and the several orders affecting revision of pay of Government servants has to be considered in this case also (he has to be treated as supernumerary against the permanent II Division post he held so far)

Approved

Sd A Krishnamurthy Rao,
President

Copy

Municipal Commissioner

4

Modified Allocation statement of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant

	Name of the official	Qualifications	Date of entry into service	Total service as on 1-1-1947	Substantive appointment, if any, with Grade	No of increment due in the revised grade	Pay in the substantive appointment on 1 1 1947
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
I	Sri Rahman Sheriff	-	10-10-?2	24-2	Sergeant 45-3-60	Rs 4	Rs60

Revised grade	Initial pay in the revised grade	Additions due to weightage as per G O	Pay fixed in the revised grade from 1-1-47
9	10	11	12
Rs 60-5-90/EB 6-150 (Supernumerary).	Rs 65	Rs 20	Rs 85/-

(F M Abdul Rahim)
Municipal Commissioner

Verified and found Correct

Asst Controller

Dist Register
-5-53

5

Modified Allocation statement of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant

Sl.No.	Name of the official.	Qualifications	Date of entry into service	Total service as on 1-1-1947	Substantive appointment, if any, with grade	No. of increment due in the revised grade	Pay in the substantive appointment on 1 1 1947
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
I	Sri Rahiman Sheriff		10-10-22	24-2	Sergeant 45-3-60	~~Rs~~ 4	Rs60

Revised grade	Initial pay in the revised grade	Additions due to weightage as per G.O	Pay fixed in the revised grade from 1-1-47
9	10	11	12
Rs 60-5-90/EB 6-150 (Supernumerary)	Rs 65	Rs 20	Rs 85/-

(F M Abdul Rahim)
Municipal Commissioner

Verified and found Correct

Dated, Mysore
- 5 - 53

Assistant Controller
11/5/53
30/4/53

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Allocation of Sri. Rahaman Sheriff Naresh Sergeant on the 1st Division post.

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 2 Q 302 /CM3-52-88

To D 28/3753

The Municipal Commr

Mysore City Corp

(Through the post)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *195*

Sir

With Reference to your letter C.I.C.R 936/52 53 dated 25-09-52 on the above subject, I am directed to request you to send the Service Register of the Official for Reference and Return

Yours faithfully

25/3

for Secy to G. Secy

25/3

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by 26/3/53

Examined by

WD 02200—GPB—10 000—15 1-53

Received 27/3 at 4.2 (PM)

epf 3-53-20

No C2, C.R. 936/52-53
Encls SP

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
P B No 17
Mysore Dated 31-3-53

FROM

The Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipal Council,
MYSORE

TO

The Assistant Secretary to the
Government of Mysore L.S.G & Planning
Departments, Bangalore-1.

Through the President).

Sir,

Subject Allocation of Sri Rahman Sheriff,
Market Sergeant in the first Division.

With reference to your letter No L. 22302/C M.3-52-58 dated 28-3-53 regarding the Service Register of the Official, I write to enclose herein, the Service Register of Sri Rahman Sheriff for reference and return.

I request that orders of Government in the matter may kindly be obtained and communicated early as his pension question is pending. He has retired from service since 3-3-53.

Yours faithfully,

(F M Abdul Rahim).
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,

A Krishnamurthy Rao

(A. Krishnamurthy Rao)
President

PSK

Proceedings of the Government of H :he Mah.raja
of Mysore

8

Read

Government Order No R 4451-63/L R 446-47-8, dated 24-11-1948 issuing among other things instructions in the matter of (1) treating appointments in the old grade of Rs 40-2-50 of the Revenue Department as class I appointments and (2) the allocation of officials in the same grade to the revised First Division and the grant of minimum pay to officials recruited after 1-10-45

2 Letter No 6279/48-49, dated 21-12-1948 from the Public Service Commissioner furnishing his remarks on the above orders and suggesting a revision thereof

- - - - -

Order No P 9916-21/L R 440-47-15,

Dated, Bangalore, 10th May 1949

In para 1(1) of the Government Order of 24-11-1948, it was directed that all appointments in grade Rs 40-2-50 (old scale) classed as Class I appointments prior to 28-2-1946 for purposes of recruitment in the Revenue Department be treated as First Division appointments in grade Rs 60-5-90-6-150, subject to the ratio of one to five being maintained The Public Service Commissioner has reported that all appointments in the old grade of Rs 40-2-50 come under new Class II with effect from 1-10-1945 and not from 28-2-1946 Government are pleased to approve the correction suggested by the P S C and to direct that the following may be substituted for the paragraph 1(1) of the Government order read above -

"that all appointments in grade Rs 40-2-50 (old scale) classed as Class I appointments prior to 1-10-1945 in the Revenue Department be treated as First Division appointments in grade Rs 60-5-90-6-150, subject to the ratio of one to five being maintained"

In para 1(2) of the same G O , it has been ordered that "graduates, if any recruited after 1-10-1945 and before 1-1-1947 should be given the pay of first division as personal to them till they are absorbed in the first division " The Public Service Commissioner has remarked that the benefit of allocation to, and the pay of the First Division, cannot be extended to graduates appointed and promoted from 1-10-1945 to 1-1-1947 in the new Class II (Rs 40-2-50) and that they are entitled to the pay of the class of appointments in which they are regularly working till they are allocated to the First Division Government consider that, as it is their intention to allow all graduate employees the pay of the First Division scale, they cannot accept the view of the Public Service Commissioner They are however pleased to modify the order as under -

"Graduates, if any, recruited after 1-10-1945 and before 1-1-1947 should be given the pay of the First Division as personal to them, they being treated as supernumeraries in the second division till they are absorbed in the First Division"

Sd Abdul Aleem,
Secretary to Government,
Education Department

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
The Comptroller to Government, through F D
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts,
The Public Service Commissioner

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Allocation of Sri Rahmanshariff, Market Sergeant in First Division Vacancy

9

Section

2 Copies File No

Serial No

No L 5354 /30-7-53

To

The Municipal Commissioner

(Mro Prd) Mysore

Diary No of letter replied to 177/53

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 195

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sir

With reference to the correspondence resting with your letter No C2 CR 936/52-53 D 31 3 53 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request that information on the following points may kindly be furnished,

(1) Why and how it is proposed to allocate this official against a First Div clerical Vacancy

WD 01416—GPB—30 000—11-10-52

when he was a permanent Market Sergeant in the Executive Establishment.

(2) Whether the grade of Market Sergeant was not revised during General Revision and if so to what scale and whether this official has not reaped the benefits of such a revision as he was only temporary in Food Department.

(3) If the Scale of Market Sergeant is revised (which is necessary) to Rs 60-5-90-6-150 with effect from 1.1.47 to accommodate this official in Fixed Division, whether the benefits of such a revised Scale would not automatically be applicable to the person who acted as Market Sergeant during the period Sri Rahmansheriff was O.O. in the Food Department and if this would not result in heavy expenditure

(4) If the temporary incumbent who acted in the Vacancy would not claim the benefits of higher grade and pay on a permanent basis by virtue of his having acted in higher

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

11

Sufficiently grade for a long period as Lt Sir Rahman Sheriff reverted to his original Vacancy in the Chemical Duty from Foot Department

Yours faithfully,

for Lt S-G Secy.

21/7

28/7/52

12

Mysore Government

L 5354 30th July 53

The Asst
L.S.G. & Planning Departments

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Mysore City Municipality,
(through the President)
Mysore

Sir,

Subject - Allocation of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant, in First Division Vacancy

---

With reference to the correspondence resting with your letter No C2 C R 936/52-53 dated the 31st March 1953 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to request that information on the following points may kindly be furnished -

(1) Why and how it is proposed to allocate this official against a First Division clerical vacancy when he was a permanent Market Sergeant i.e , in the Executive Establishment?

(2) Whether the grade of Market Sergeant was not revised during General Revision and if so to what scale and whether this official has not reaped the benefits of such a revision as he was only temporary in Food Department

(3) If the scale of Market Sergeant is revised, which is necessary to Rs 60-5-90/6-150 with effect from 1st July 1947 to accommodate this official in First Division, whether the benefits of such a revised scale would not automatically be applicable to the person who acted as Market Sergeant during the period Sri Rahman Sheriff was O O D in the Food Department and if this would not result in heavy expenditure

(4) If the temporary incumbent who acted in the vacancy would not claim the benefits of higher grade and pay on a permanent basis by virtue of his having acted in higher grade for sufficiently long period, i e , till Sri Rahman Sheriff reverted to his original vacancy in the Municipality from Food Department

Yours faithfully,

8/c (V Hanumanthappa)
Asst Secretary to Government,
L S G & Planning Departments

LNS 2 28/7

No C2CR 936
52 53

Encls

42/R

13

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
P B No 17

Mysore, Dated 5-8-53

FROM

THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
City Municipal Council
MYSORE



To

The Secretary to Government,
L.S.G. and Planning Departments,
Bangalore-1
(Through the President).

Sir,

C M 3-52-93.

Subject - Allocation of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sargeant, in First Division vacancy.

With reference to your letter No.L. 5354 dated 30th July 1953 on the above subject, I write to state that the present proposal is to allocate Sri Rahman Sheriff as supernumerary against the II Division post he was holding so far This is clearly noted in the resolution No 936 dated 5-12-1952 forwarded as an enclosure to this office letter dated 31st March 53. As regards the second point observed in your letter under advertance, it is stated that the original scale pay of the Market Sergeant was Rs 45-3-60 that the official was drawing a pay of Rs 60/- in that grade, that he was on other duty in the Food Supply Department on 1-1-1947 and was drawing more than Rs. 45/- prior to 1-10-45 As such he was entitled to a First - Division appointment in the allocation But as he was on other duty in the Food Supplies Department his claims were not properly examined during the general revision and he was allocated in the revised grade pay of Rs. 40-2-50-3-80 fixed in respect of the Market Sergeant to be on par with those of the Revenue Inspectors of the Second grade of the Municipality and the pay was fixed at Rs. 74/- after giving the weightage as per rules, the initial pay being Rs 62/- He has not had the benefit of the revision in view of the fact that he was drawing a higher salary while in the Food Department and the scale of pay was only counted and noted in the Service Register.

P T O

Regarding the doubt raised at point No. 3 the question of the temporary incumbent of the post becoming entitled to the benefits of a first division in case Sri Rahman Sheriff is allocated in the first division does not arise in view of the fact that the proposal of the Council is only to - allocate him as a supernumerary official against a II Division vacancy.

It has to be stated that the temporary incumbent who acted during his absence as Market Sergeant is not entitled to a higher pay in the first division in view of the fact that the person acting in his place appointed in the year 1945 was only a local candidate who left service and after him Revenue Inspectors or other ministerial officials who held either acting or temporary III or II Division posts according to the circumstances of the case were being posted. In these circumstances, I request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained to allocate the offic as supernumerary against the II Division appointment sanctioned - to the Market Sergeant of the Municipality according to the - revision of scale pay of the ministerial establishment

Yours faithfully,

(N Lakshmanan).
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,

(T Venkataramaniah)
President

PSK.

M' G S App F G 3

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

WD 03546—GPB—40 000 —14 8 53

corpn 15

To 4-XI-53 | C 1m 3-52-94

The Municipal Commissioner,
Mysore city

(Thro' The President)

Sir,

Subject Allocation of
Sri Rahman Sheriff,
Market Sergeant, on
first Division Vacancy

With reference to
the Correspondence ending
with your letter no
C2.CR-936/52-53 dated
5 & 1953 on the above
subject, I am directed
to state that, as the
grade and pay of the
Market Sergeant has
been revised from
Rs 45-3-60 to Rs 40-2-50
-3-80, there is no
case for further revision

~~The Commissioner~~

g the grade pay of the ~~officiating~~ post to that of a ~~First~~ First Division clerk, ~~and~~ therefore the proposal is not acceptable.

2 The Service Register is returned herewith

Yours faithfully

Sd/-

for Secretary to Govt.

Govt. M.L.S.G. Deptt.

K.S. 24/11/53

L. 9765/CR3-52-54
SR

17

Municipal Branch,
6th November 3

The

L S.G. & Planning Departments

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Mysore City Municipality,
(through the President)

Sir,

Subject - Allocation of Sri Rahman Sheriff, Market Sergeant, in First Division vacancy.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No C2 C.R.936/52-53 dated the 5th August 1953, on the above subject, I am directed to state that, as the grade and pay of the Market Sergeant has been revised from Rs.45-3-60 to Rs.40-2-50/3-80, there is no case for further revision of the grade pay of the post to that of a First Division clerk, and therefore the proposal is not acceptable

2. The Service Register is returned herewith

Yours faithfully,

(V. Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L S G & Planning Departments

LNS.2.2/11. O/C)

3 copies

48

THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE COMPANY, LTD,



M N JOIS

Telephone

Mysore { Office 467 / Residence 589

Bangalore { Office 2181 / Residence 3279

BANGALORE, October 28, '53

Dear Sri G K V Rao,

I am being approached by one Janab B Rahman Sheriff, Retired Sargeant, Devaraja Market, Mysore, to help him to expedite his pay and pension questions pending in the L S G Secretariat He seems to be a poor man who is entirely dependent on his service earnings His pension question is pending for the past 9 months and he is therefore very hard up

Again I learn that this question is pending on account of some increment question attached to this while he was in service, which has not been decided He told me that even if the authorities are not able to give him the increment that he was entitled to, he would be more than satisfied if the pension question is settled on the basis of the pay that he was drawing, even without the increment that has been duly recommended by the Mysore Municipality His representation is that it would help him very much if his pension question is settled immediately as he has no other means of livlihood

In these circumstances, may I request you to please look into the matter and see how best you can help this poor man in expediting the question of his pension Please also excuse me for this personal affair in relation to the administrative matter I would rever have

PTO

interefered but for the fact that I give priority to human considerations than to any other consideration in life Hence I thought that a personal note to this effect about this suffering individual will not be out of place

A line in reply is most welcome

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

(M N JOIS)

G K V Rao,Esq ,
Secretary,
Local Self Government, Secretariat
BANGALORE

3 copies

2-0

To

L 10220

14-XI-53

Sri M N Jois
Member, L.C
24, Sonar Street
Mysore city

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 28.10.1953 in regard to the grant of increments to Sri B Rahaman Shariff, Retired Sergeant, Mysore city police, I am directed to state that orders have been communicated to the Municipal Commissioner Mysore city on 4.11.1953 rejecting the ~~and to~~ request. You have requested you to be so good as to contact the Municipal Comr., Mysore city for further information in this behalf.

Yours faithfully

Sd/-

for Secy to Govt
Govt of L.S.G. Depts

Memo nil 10221/14-XI-53

Copy of the above is forwarded

to the Minister for [redacted]
city (Neo' the Prosidency for
information

Sent to me
BY Mr L.S.G Dept

13/11/53

Notes – 17

Corresp – 20